## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 200/2014

(M.A. No. 205 of 2016, M.A. No. 206 of 2016, M.A. No. 224 of 2016, M.A. No.585 of 2016, M.A. No.815 of 2016 & M.A. No.1199 of 2016)

(C.W.P. No. 3727/1985)

And

Original Application No. 501 of 2014 (M.A. No. 404 of 2015)

And

Original Application No. 146 of 2015

And

Appeal No. 63 of 2015

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

M.C. Mehta Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Anil Kumar Singhal Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Society for Protection of Environment & Biodiversity & Anr.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

And

Confederation of Delhi Industries & CETP Societies
(An Organisation of CETP Societies)

Vs.

D.P.C.C. & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. AJAY A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present Applicant:

Mr. M. C. Metha, Adv. Petitioner in person with Ms. Katyani, Advs.

Mr. Vijay Bhadur Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Choudhary and Ms. Meera Gopal, Advs.

Mr. Sanjay Upadhyay, Ms. Priya Sharma and Mr. Salik Shafique, Advs.

Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs. for UPPCB

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha, Advs. for UPCB

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv., State of Haryana

Mr. I.K. Kapila, Adv. for UP Jal Nigam & UK Pey Jal Nigam

Ms. Sushma Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha, Adv.

Mr. M.Z. Chaudhary, Adv. and Mr. S.A. Zaidi, Adv. for Leather Industries.

Ms. Alpana Poddar, Adv. with Mr. Bhupender Kumar, LA, CPCB

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Ms. D. Bharati Reddy, Adv. For State of Uttarakhand

Mr. Ravindra Kumar Adv. for NOIDA and Greater NOIDA

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. for JSPCB

Mr. Sanjeev Ralli, Adv. with Mr. Dinesh Jindal,

LO, Delhi Pollution Control Committee

Mr. Atul Batra, Advs. for Mother Dairy, Pilakhua Unit

Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotri, Adv. for CECB

Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.

Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra and Mr. Abhinav Kr. Malik, Advs. for UPSIDC

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv. for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Ms. Antima Bazaz, Adv. For AIDA

Mr. M.D. Rashid Saeed, Adv. for CETP, Banthar & Unnao

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv.

Mr. B. V. Nirern, Adv.

Mr. Amit agarwal, and Ms. Asha Basu, Advs. for State of west Bengal

Mr. Ishwer Singh, Adv. for NMCG

Mr. Gautam Singh for Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Adv. Ms. Neelam Rathore, Adv. for Association of Textile Processor

Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv. and Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO

Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra and Mr. Abhinav Kr. Malik, Advs. for UPSIDC

Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Adv.

Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv. for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv. for Mr. Moni Cinmoy, Adv. for DSIIDC

Present: Applicant: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Bansal, Adv.

Respondent No.3: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs. Respondent No.8: Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha,

Advs. for UPCB

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv

Mr. B. V. Nirern, Adv.

Present: Applicant: Mr. Rahul Choudhary & Ms. Meera Gopal, Advs.

Respondent No.2: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.

Respondent No.8: Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha, Advs. for UPCB

Respondent Nos. 11 and 12:Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv. SPENBIO

Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. & Mr. Bhupendra Kumar, CPCB

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv

Mr. B. V. Nirern, Adv.

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv. For MoEF

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item Nos. 14 to 17	In terms of our Order dated 21st December, 2016,
January 11, 2017	the UPPCB, UP Jal Nigam, UPSIDC, NMCG and the
sn	Central Pollution Control Board have filed a Joint Report
	which is taken on record. Let copy of this Report be
	furnished to all the Learned Counsel appearing in the

Item Nos. 14 to 17 January 11, 2017 case, comments if any, should be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

UPSIDC has also filed certain documents. Let copies thereof be furnished to all the Learned Counsel appearing in the case. They are directed to be taken on record.

We would take up the matter in relation to the drains that has been specified in the Joint Inspection Report at the first instance in relation to prevention and control of pollution and consequent rejuvenation of river Ganga. We may notice that all the Respondents without exception and the concerned stakeholders have admitted to the correctness of this Report and do not wish to file any objection in that behalf. Therefore, we will treat this Report not only as a joint Inspection Report but a document admitted by all the Respondents in this case. The Applicant is at liberty to submit his suggestions.

We direct all the Respondents particularly, CPCB, UPPCB, UP Jal Nigam, NMCG, UPSIDC and the representative of the State Government not below the rank of a Joint Secretary shall submit to the Tribunal details of all the industrial clusters filed in segment B of phase 1. They shall also submit trade/industrial effluent load in terms of quantity and quality both. They shall also submit the methodology should be adopted for the purposes of treating the trade/industrial effluent whether it is mixed with sewerage or not and what should be the details of the plants to be installed for ensuring that the trade effluent joining the river, partially or otherwise, should not pollute

Item Nos. 14 to 17 January 11, 2017 the river under any circumstances.

The Learned Counsel appearing for the State of UP, UPSIDC and UPPCB shall also submit as to how many industries are there, besides 1200 industries, the list of which has already been provided and out of which nearly 700 to 800 industries are seriously polluting and comes in red or orange category.

What is the nature they have identified of the industries, classifying them into the industries which are discharging trade effluent and carrying the pollutant of any kind. Let this be done very expeditiously. UPPCB and Uttar Pradesh State Government shall also submit the details of Chromium, Sulphate manufacturing plants at Rania and other places, including their pollution control systems and compliances. As also regarding dumping of sludge containing chromium.

The Judgments of the Allahabad High Court or the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India which have an impact on segment B of phase 1 should be placed on record by the Learned Counsel appearing for the State of UP and UPPCB without any delay.

This case will be taken up on day-to-day basis till concluded.

List the matter on 16th January, 2017.

,СЕ
(Swatanter Kumar)
,
,JM
(Dr. Josephin)

Item Nos. 14 to 17 January	,JM (Raghuvendra S. Rathore)
11, 2017 sn	,EM (Bikram Singh Sajwan)
	,EM (Ajay A. Deshpande)

